

Core models in the presence of Woodin cardinals

by Ralf Schindler

www.logic.univie.ac.at/~rds

0 Introduction.

In this paper we show that the core model might exist even if there are Woodin cardinals in V . This observation is not new. Woodin [8], in his proof that $\text{AD}_{\mathbb{R}}$ implies the $\text{AD}_{\mathbb{R}}$ hypothesis, constructed models of ZFC in which there are fully iterable extender models with Woodin cardinals which satisfy (among other things) a weak covering property. Steel [7], in his proof that M_n satisfies $V = HOD$, gave an argument which appears to be a special case of what we shall do in this paper. However, the general method for constructing the core model in the theory $\text{ZFC} +$ “there is a measurable cardinal above n Woodin cardinals” + “ $M_{n+1}^{\#}$ does not exist” which we shall present here does seem to be new.

This method might in turn admit generalizations, but we do not know how to do it. We shall indicate that our method might have applications; we shall prove that if M is an ultrapower of V by an extender with countably closed support then K^M is an iterate of K (although K might not be fully iterable).

Definition 0.1 *We let Σ denote the following partial function. $\Sigma(\mathcal{T}) = b$ if and only if $\mathcal{T}$ is an iteration tree of limit length and either*

- (a) *$\text{cf}(\text{lh}(\mathcal{T})) > \omega$ and b is the unique cofinal branch through $\mathcal{T}$, or else*
- (b) *b is the unique cofinal branch through $\mathcal{T}$ such that $\mathcal{Q}(b, \mathcal{T})$ exists and is weakly iterable.*

We say that an iteration tree $\mathcal{T}$ is according to Σ if and only if $[0, \lambda)_{\mathcal{T}} = \Sigma(\mathcal{T} \restriction \lambda)$ for every limit ordinal $\lambda < \text{lh}(\mathcal{T})$.

Definition 0.2 *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a k -sound premouse, and let $\delta \in \mathcal{M}$. We say that $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly iterable above δ if for all weak k -embeddings $\pi: \bar{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ with $\delta \in \text{ran}(\pi)$, Σ witnesses that $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ is $(k, \omega_1 + 1)$ iterable above $\pi^{-1}(\delta)$ (i.e., with respect to iteration trees in which all extenders used have critical point $\geq \pi^{-1}(\delta)$). If $\delta = 0$ then we omit “above 0.”*

By arguments of Woodin and Neeman, the K of the next section can be shown to be not “fully iterable.” We have to introduce a new kind of iterability in order to be able to formulate to which extent K will be iterable after all.

Definition 0.3 Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a premouse, and let $\vec{\delta} = (\delta_1 < \delta_2 < \dots < \delta_n)$ be a sequence of inaccessible cardinals. We say that $\mathcal{M}$ is $\vec{\delta}$ iterable via Σ provided the following holds true.

Suppose that $\mathcal{T}$ is a putative iteration tree on $\mathcal{M}$ which is according to Σ . Suppose also that we can write

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_0 \smallfrown \mathcal{T}_1 \smallfrown \dots \smallfrown \mathcal{T}_n$$

where the following assumptions are met.

(a) For each $k \leq n$, $\mathcal{T}_k$ is an iteration tree of length θ_k (possibly $\theta_k = 1$, i.e., $\mathcal{T}_k$ is trivial),

(b) for each $k < n$, θ_k is a successor ordinal and $\mathcal{T}_{k+1}$ is an iteration tree on $\mathcal{M}_{\theta_k-1}^{\mathcal{T}_k}$, the last model of $\mathcal{T}_k$,

(c) for each $k \leq n$, if $k > 0$ then $\text{crit}(E_\alpha^{\mathcal{T}_k}) > \delta_k$ whenever $\alpha + 1 < \text{lh}(\mathcal{T}_k)$ and if $k < n$ then $\text{lh}(E_\alpha^{\mathcal{T}_k}) < \delta_{k+1}$ whenever $\alpha + 1 < \text{lh}(\mathcal{T}_k)$, and

(c) for each $k < n$, either $\theta_k < \delta_{k+1}$ or else $\theta_k \in \{\delta_{k+1}, \delta_{k+1} + 1\}$ and there is an unbounded $A_k \subset \delta_{k+1}$ and a non-decreasing sequence $(\Omega_i : i \in A_k)$ of inaccessible cardinals below δ_{k+1} converging to δ_{k+1} such that if $j \leq i \in A_k$ then $j \leq_{\mathcal{T}_k} i$, $\pi_{0j}^{\mathcal{T}_k} \restriction \Omega_j \subset \Omega_j$, and $\pi_{ji}^{\mathcal{T}_k} \restriction \Omega_j = \text{id}$.

Then either $\mathcal{T}$ has successor length and its last model is well-founded, or else $\mathcal{T}$ has limit length and $\Sigma(\mathcal{T})$ is well-defined.

Even if $\mathcal{M} \cap \text{OR} < \delta_n$ then it makes sense to say that $\mathcal{M}$ is $\vec{\delta}$ iterable. If $\mathcal{M} \cap \text{OR} \geq \delta_k$ and if $\mathcal{T}$ is as above then it is easy to see that $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k$ are not moved by the relevant embeddings in $\mathcal{T}$. If $\mathcal{T}_k \restriction \delta_{k+1}$ has length δ_{k+1} then there is a unique cofinal branch through $\mathcal{T}_k \restriction \delta_{k+1}$ by Definition 0.3 (c), and hence $\Sigma(\mathcal{T}_k \restriction \delta_{k+1})$ is then well-defined.

1 The construction.

Fix $n < \omega$. Throughout this section we shall assume that $\delta_1 < \delta_2 < \dots < \delta_n$ are Woodin cardinals, that $\Omega > \delta_n$ is measurable, and that $M_{n+1}^\#$ does not exist. We aim to construct K , “the core model of height Ω .”

We sometimes write $\delta_0 = 0$ and $\delta_{n+1} = \Omega$. We shall recursively construct $K \restriction \delta_k$, $k \leq n+1$, in such a way that the following assumptions will hold inductively.

A_{1,k}. Suppose that $k > 0$. If κ is measurable with $\delta_{k-1} < \kappa \leq \delta_k$ then $K \restriction \kappa$ is the core model over $K \restriction \delta_{k-1}$ of height κ .

A_{2,k}. Suppose that $k > 1$. Then $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{k-1}$ are Woodin cardinals in $K \restriction \delta_k$.

$\mathbf{A}_{3,k}$. $K||\delta_k$ is $\vec{\delta}$ iterable.

Now suppose that $K||\delta_k$ has already been constructed, where $k < n + 1$. Suppose also that $\mathbf{A}_{1,k}$, $\mathbf{A}_{2,k}$, and $\mathbf{A}_{3,k}$ hold. We aim to construct $K||\delta_{k+1}$ in such a way that $\mathbf{A}_{1,k+1}$, $\mathbf{A}_{2,k+1}$, and $\mathbf{A}_{3,k+1}$ hold.

Let us first run the $K^c(K||\delta_k)$ construction inside $V_{\delta_{k+1}}$. Let $\mathcal{N}_\xi$ and $\mathcal{M}_\xi = \mathfrak{E}_\omega(\mathcal{N})$ be the models of this construction. We would let the construction break down just in case that we reached some $\xi < \delta_{k+1}$ with $\rho_\omega(\mathcal{N}_\xi) < \delta_k$. We'll show in a minute that this is not the case.

We shall prove the following statements under the assumption that $\xi \leq \delta_{k+1}$ and $\mathcal{N}_\xi$ is defined.

$\mathbf{A}_{1,k,\xi}$. Suppose that $\xi > 0$. Then $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k$ are Woodin cardinals in $\mathcal{N}_\xi$.

PROOF of $\mathbf{A}_{1,k,\xi}$. By $\mathbf{A}_{2,k}$ it suffices to show that δ_k is a Woodin cardinal in $\mathcal{N}_\xi$, where we assume that $k > 0$. Let $f: \delta_k \rightarrow \delta_k$, $f \in \mathcal{N}_\xi$, and let $g: \delta_k \rightarrow \delta_k$ be defined by $g(\xi) =$ the least V -inaccessible strictly above $f(\xi) \cup \delta_{k-1}$. As δ_k is Woodin in V , there is some $\kappa < \delta_k$ and some V -extender $E \in V_{\delta_k}$ such that if $\pi: V \rightarrow_E M$ then M is transitive, $V_{\pi(g)(\kappa)} \subset M$, and $\pi(K||\delta_k) \cap V_{\pi(\kappa)} = K||\delta_k \cap V_{\pi(\kappa)}$. $\mathbf{A}_{1,k}$ then gives, by standard arguments, a total extender $F \in K||\delta_k$ such that if $\sigma: K||\delta_k \rightarrow_F K'$ then K' is transitive and $K \models V_{\sigma(f)(\kappa)} \subset K'$. $\square$ ($\mathbf{A}_{1,k,\xi}$)

$\mathbf{A}_{2,k,\xi}$. $\mathcal{N}_\xi$ is weakly iterable above δ_k .

PROOF of $\mathbf{A}_{2,k,\xi}$. Cf. [5, §§1, 2, and 9]. $\square$ ($\mathbf{A}_{2,k,\xi}$)

$\mathbf{A}_{3,k,\xi}$. Suppose that there is no $\delta > \delta_k$ which is definably Woodin in $\mathcal{N}_\xi$. Then $\mathcal{N}_\xi$ is $\vec{\delta}$ iterable via Σ .

PROOF of $\mathbf{A}_{3,k,\xi}$. Suppose not. Let

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_0 \frown \mathcal{T}_1 \frown \dots \frown \mathcal{T}_n$$

be a putative iteration tree on $\mathcal{N}_\xi$ according to Σ and as in Definition 0.3 such that either $\text{lh}(\mathcal{T})$ is a successor ordinal and $\mathcal{T}$ has a last ill-founded model or else $\text{lh}(\mathcal{T})$ is a limit ordinal and $\Sigma(\mathcal{T})$ is undefined. (Notice that if $k < n$ then we must have that $\mathcal{T}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathcal{T}_n$ are trivial.)

Suppose for a second that $\text{lh}(\mathcal{T})$ is a limit ordinal. Then $\text{lh}(\mathcal{T}) \notin \{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n\}$, as otherwise $\Sigma(\mathcal{T})$ would clearly be defined by Definition 0.3 (c). Set $\delta = \delta(\mathcal{T})$, and let $W = K^c(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}))$ (built of height OR). W is well-defined and in fact $\delta \notin \{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n\}$ is a Woodin cardinal in W due to the fact that $\Sigma(\mathcal{T})$ is undefined (cf. [6]). By $\mathbf{A}_{1,k,\xi}$

and by the proof of [1, Theorem 11.3], we'll also have that $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ are Woodin cardinals in W , and Ω will be measurable in W . As $M_{n+1}^\#$ does not exist we know that $W||\Omega^{++}$ can't be weakly iterable.

If $\text{lh}(\mathcal{T})$ is a successor ordinal then we let δ and W be undefined.

Now let Θ be regular and large enough, and pick

$$\bar{H} \xrightarrow{\pi} H' \xrightarrow{\sigma} H_\Theta$$

such that $\bar{H}$ and H' are transitive, $\text{Card}(\bar{H}) = \aleph_0$, and $\{\mathcal{N}_\xi, \mathcal{T}\} \subset \text{ran}(\sigma \circ \pi)$. If $k > 0$ then we shall also assume that $\text{Card}(H') < \delta_k$ and $\text{ran}(\sigma) \cap \delta_k \in \delta_k$. (If $k = 0$ then we allow $\sigma = \text{id}$.) Set $\bar{\mathcal{N}} = (\sigma \circ \pi)^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_\xi)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{T}} = (\sigma^{-1} \circ \pi)(\mathcal{T})$. If $\text{lh}(\mathcal{T})$ is a limit ordinal then we shall also assume that $W||\Omega^{++} \in \text{ran}(\sigma \circ \pi)$, and setting $\bar{W} = (\sigma \circ \pi)^{-1}(W||\lambda)$ we may and shall assume that $\bar{W}$ is not $\omega_1 + 1$ iterable. We also write $\mathcal{N}' = \pi(\bar{\mathcal{N}}) = \sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_\xi)$ and $\delta' = \sigma^{-1}(\delta_k)$ ($= \text{crit}(\sigma)$ if $k > 0$).

Claim 1. Suppose that $k > 0$, and let $\kappa < \delta_k$ be measurable with $\mathcal{N}' \cap \text{OR} < \kappa$. Then $\mathcal{N}'$ is Σ coiterable with $K||\kappa$.

PROOF of Claim 1. Suppose not. Let $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{W}'$ denote the putative iteration trees arising from the coiteration of $\mathcal{N}'$ with $K||\kappa$. $\mathcal{W}$ is above δ' and $\mathcal{W}'$ is above δ_{k-1} . We assume that $\mathcal{W}$ has a last ill-founded model or else that $\Sigma(\mathcal{W})$ is not defined. By **A**_{2,k,ξ}, we must have that $\mathcal{W}$ has limit length and $\Sigma(\mathcal{W})$ is not defined. By **A**_{3,k}, $\Sigma(\mathcal{W}')$ is well-defined, i.e., $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}')$ does exist.

Let us pick

$$\tau : H'' \rightarrow H_\Theta$$

such that H'' is transitive, $\text{Card}(H'') = \aleph_0$, and $\{\mathcal{N}', \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{W}')\} \subset \text{ran}(\tau)$. Set $\bar{\mathcal{N}}' = \tau^{-1}(\mathcal{N}')$ and $\bar{\mathcal{W}} = \tau^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$.

As $\mathcal{N}'_\xi$ is weakly iterable above δ_k , we certainly have that $\bar{\mathcal{N}}'$ is $\omega_1 + 1$ iterable above $\tau^{-1}(\delta')$. In particular, $\mathcal{Q}(\bar{\mathcal{W}})$ exists, and $\mathcal{Q}(\bar{\mathcal{W}}) \trianglelefteq \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma(\bar{\mathcal{W}})}^{\bar{\mathcal{W}}}$. However, we must have that $\mathcal{Q}(\bar{\mathcal{W}}) = \tau^{-1}(\mathcal{Q}(\bar{\mathcal{W}}'))$. In particular, $\mathcal{Q}(\bar{\mathcal{W}}) \in H''$, and therefore by standard arguments $\Sigma(\mathcal{W})$ is well-defined after all. $\square$ (Claim 1)

Claim 2. $\bar{\mathcal{N}}$ is $\omega_1 + 1$ iterable.

PROOF of Claim 2. Well, if $k = 0$ then this readily follows from **A**_{2,k,ξ}. Let us now assume that $k > 0$. Let $\kappa < \delta_k$ be measurable with $\mathcal{N}' \cap \text{OR} < \kappa$. By Claim 1, we may let $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{W}'$ denote the iteration trees arising from the (successful) Σ coiteration of $\mathcal{N}'$ with $K||\kappa$. By **A**_{1,k}, we shall have that $\pi_{0_\infty}^{\mathcal{W}} : \mathcal{N}' \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\infty^{\mathcal{W}'}||\gamma$ for some γ , where $\pi_{0_\infty}^{\mathcal{W}} \upharpoonright \delta' = \text{id}$. We then have that

$$\pi_{0_\infty}^{\mathcal{W}} \circ \pi : \bar{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\infty^{\mathcal{W}'}||\gamma$$

witnesses, by $\mathbf{A}_{3,k}$, that $\bar{\mathcal{N}}$ is $\omega_1 + 1$ iterable via Σ . $\square$ (Claim 2)

In particular, $\mathcal{T}$ must have limit length. By Claim 2, $\mathcal{Q}(\bar{\mathcal{T}})$ exists (and is $\omega_1 + 1$ iterable). Set $\bar{\mathcal{Q}} = \mathcal{Q}(\bar{\mathcal{T}}) \trianglelefteq \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma(\bar{\mathcal{T}})}^{\bar{\mathcal{T}}}$, and set $\bar{\delta} = (\sigma \circ \pi)^{-1}(\delta)$. We have that $\bar{\delta}$ is a cutpoint in $\bar{W}$, $\bar{\delta}$ is a Woodin cardinal in $\bar{W}$, and $\bar{W}$ is $\omega_1 + 1$ iterable above $\bar{\delta}$. Of course, $\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \parallel \bar{\delta} = \bar{W} \parallel \bar{\delta}$. Let $\mathcal{V}'$ and $\mathcal{V}$ denote the iteration trees arising from the (successful) comparison of $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}$ with $\bar{W}$. We shall have that $\pi_{0\infty}^{\mathcal{V}}: \bar{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{V}'} \parallel \gamma'$ for some γ' . By Claim 2, this embedding witnesses that $\bar{W}$ is $\omega_1 + 1$ iterable. Contradiction! $\square$ ($\mathbf{A}_{3,k,\xi}$)

$$\mathbf{A}_{4,k,\xi} \cdot \rho_{\omega}(\mathcal{N}_{\xi}) \geq \delta_k.$$

PROOF of $\mathbf{A}_{3,k,\xi}$. We may trivially assume that $k > 0$. Suppose that $\rho = \rho_{\omega}(\mathcal{N}_{\xi}) < \delta_k$. Let Θ be large enough, and pick $\pi: \bar{H} \rightarrow H_{\Theta}$ such that $\bar{H}$ is transitive, $\text{Card}(\bar{H}) < \delta_k$, $\text{ran}(\pi) \cap \delta_k \in (\delta_k \setminus (\rho + 1))$, and $\{\mathcal{N}_{\xi}, \delta_k\} \subset \text{ran}(\pi)$. Set $\bar{\mathcal{N}} = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_{\xi})$ and $\bar{\delta} = \pi^{-1}(\delta_k)$. Let $\kappa < \delta_k$ be measurable such that $\kappa > \bar{\mathcal{N}} \cap \text{OR}$. By $\mathbf{A}_{3,k,\xi}$ (or rather by the proof of Claim 1 in the proof of $\mathbf{A}_{3,k,\xi}$), we may now successfully coiterate $\bar{\mathcal{N}}$ with $K \parallel \kappa$. $\mathbf{A}_{1,k}$ implies that any witness to $\rho = \rho_{\omega}(\bar{\mathcal{N}})$ is an element of $K \parallel \kappa$. But any such witness is also a witness to $\rho = \rho_{\omega}(\mathcal{N}_{\xi})$. Contradiction! $\square$ ($\mathbf{A}_{3,k,\xi}$)

We have shown that $\mathcal{N}_{\delta_{k+1}}$ exists, is $\bar{\delta}$ iterable, and that $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k$ are Woodin cardinals in $\mathcal{N}_{\delta_{k+1}}$. Let us write $K^c(K \parallel \delta_k)$ for $\mathcal{N}_{\delta_{k+1}}$.

Now let Ω be a measurable cardinal with $\delta_k < \Omega \leq \delta_{k+1}$. Using $K^c(K \parallel \delta_k) \parallel \Omega$ we may define K_{Ω} as the core model over $K \parallel \delta_k$. We shall have the canonical embedding $\pi_{\Omega}: K_{\Omega} \rightarrow K^c(K \parallel \delta_k) \parallel \Omega$ (cf. [3]). If Ω and Ω' are measurable cardinals with $\delta_k < \Omega \leq \Omega' \leq \delta_{k+1}$ then $K_{\Omega} \trianglelefteq K_{\Omega'}$ and $\pi_{\Omega} = \pi_{\Omega'} \restriction K_{\Omega}$. Let us define $K \parallel \delta_{k+1}$ as the “union” of the K_{Ω} , where Ω is a measurable cardinal with $\delta_k < \Omega \leq \delta_{k+1}$. The “union” of the maps π_{Ω} then give a canonical embedding $\pi: K \parallel \delta_{k+1} \rightarrow K^c(K \parallel \delta_k)$. It is now easy to see that $\mathbf{A}_{1,k+1}$, $\mathbf{A}_{2,k+1}$, and $\mathbf{A}_{3,k+1}$ hold true.

2 An application.

Lemma 2.1 *Suppose that $\delta_1 < \dots < \delta_n$ are Woodin cardinals, that $\Omega > \delta_n$ is measurable, and that $M_{n+1}^{\#}$ does not exist. Let $E \in V_{\Omega}$ be an extender with countably closed support. Let $\pi: V \rightarrow_E M$ where M is transitive.*

Let K denote the core model of height Ω constructed in the previous section. There is then an iteration tree $\mathcal{T}$ on K as in Definition 0.3 such that $\mathcal{M}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{T}} = \pi(K)$ and $\pi_{0\infty}^{\mathcal{T}} = \pi \restriction K$.

PROOF. This follows by the methods of [4]. $\square$

References

- [1] B. Mitchell and J. Steel, *Fine structure and iteration trees*.
- [2] B. Mitchell and R. Schindler, *K^c without large cardinals in V* .
- [3] E. Schimmerling and J. Steel, *The maximality of the core model*.
- [4] R. Schindler, *Iterates of K* .
- [5] J. Steel, *The core model iterability problem*.
- [6] J. Steel, *Core models with more Woodin cardinals*.
- [7] J. Steel, *private communication*.
- [8] W.H. Woodin, *private communication*.